

**MANUSCRIPT REVIEW CARD**  
**(Original article)**

For article \_\_\_\_\_

---

1.	Is the work original, meets the requirements of novelty?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
2.	Is work relevant?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
3.	Is the aim of the work clearly stated?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
4.	Are the research methods consistent with the aim?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
5.	Are materials and methods described in sufficient detail?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
6.	Are the presented results consistent with the aim of the study?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
7.	Are the results obtained by adequate methods?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
8.	Are the results presented visually (including tables, figures, etc.)?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
9.	Are the results of significant scientific value?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
10.	Are the estimation of the received and possible errors is given?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
11.	Are the statistical analysis conduct adequately?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
12.	Is there a comparison of self-own data with literature data?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
13.	Are the conclusions based on the data obtained and formulated clearly?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
14.	Are there references to all relevant publications on the topic of work?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
15.	Does the work have significant practical value?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
16.	Does the main position of the work adequately reflect the summary?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
17.	Does the work comply with ethical standards?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
18.	Is the article written correctly, in good language?	<b>yes</b>	<b>no</b>
<b>OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE WORK</b> (set points)			

**Notes:**

Each answer “yes” – 1 point, each answer “no” – 0 point.

In the line "overall assessment of the work" you need to set the amount of points.

With a total score of 6 or less – “reject”,

with a total score of 7-10 points – "the work requires significant improvement»,

with a total score of 11-14 points – "the work requires minor improvements»,

with a total score of 15-18 points – «publish».

In case of questions and comments on the work (marked “no” in the table), please give a detailed commentary on each comment and specific proposals for its solution (of course, if this solution exists).

**Reviewer**

---

Full name, signature