MANUSCRIPT REVIEW CARD
(Clinical case based article)

For article (Clinical case) _____

_____

1.	Is the study a rare clinical observation?	**yes**	**no**
2.	Are new diagnostic and/or treatment methods used in the study?	**yes**	**no**
3.	Is the clinical case described in sufficient detail?	**yes**	**no**
4.	Is an assessment of the received and possible errors in the diagnosis and/or treatment given?	**yes**	**no**
5.	Is there a comparison of the data described by the authors with literature data?	**yes**	**no**
6.	Is the clinical case description of great scientific and practical importance?	**yes**	**no**
7.	Have the authors described a group of homogeneous clinical observations that allow to compare different approaches to the diagnosis and/or treatment of patients?	**yes**	**no**
8.	Is a clinical case description accompanied by a literature review?	**yes**	**no**
9.	Are the authors of the article specialists in the field of clinical observation?	**yes**	**no**
10.	Does the main position of the work adequately reflect the summary?	**yes**	**no**
11.	Does the work comply with ethical standards?	**yes**	**no**
12.	Is the article written correctly, in good language?	**yes**	**no**
OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE WORK (set points)			

Notes:

Each answer "yes" – 1 point, each answer "no" – 0 point.
In the line "overall assessment of the work" you need to set the amount of points.
With a total score of 4 or less – "reject",
with a total score of 5-8 points – "the work requires improvement»,
with a total score of 9-12 points – «publish».

In case of questions and comments on the work (marked "no" in the table), please give a detailed commentary on each comment and specific proposals for its solution (of course, if this solution exists).

Reviewer _____
Full name, signature